SNF2 chromatin remodeler-family proteins FRG1 and -2 are required for RNA-directed DNA methylation
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DNA methylation in Arabidopsis thaliana is maintained by at least four different enzymes: DNA METHYLTRANSFERASE1 (MET1), CHROMOMETHYLASE3 (CMT3), DOMAINS REARRANGED METHYLTRANSFERASE2 (DRM2), and CHROMOMETHYLASE2 (CMT2). However, DNA methylation is established exclusively by the enzyme DRM2, which acts in the RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway. Some RdDM components belong to gene families and have partially redundant functions, such as the endoribonucleases DICER-LIKE 2, 3, and 4, and INVOLVED IN DE NOVO2 (IDN2) interactors IDN2-LIKE 1 and 2. Traditional mutagenesis screens usually fail to detect genes if they are redundant, as the loss of one gene can be compensated by a related gene. In an effort to circumvent this issue, we used coexpression data to identify closely related genes that are coregulated with genes in the RdDM pathway. Here we report the discovery of two redundant proteins, SNF2-RING-Helicase-LIKE1 and -2 (FRG1 and -2) that are putative chromatin modifiers belonging to the SNF2 family of helicase-like proteins. Analysis of genome-wide bisulfite sequencing shows that simultaneous mutations of FRG1 and -2 cause defects in methylation at specific RdDM targeted loci. We also show that FRG1 physically associates with Su(var)3-9-related SUVR2, a known RdDM component, in vivo. Combined, our results identify FRG1 and FRG2 as previously unidentified components of the RdDM machinery.
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Cytosine methylation is an epigenetic mark present in many eukaryotes and is involved in silencing of transposable elements and other repetitive sequences that impose threats to genome integrity. Moreover, DNA methylation in regulatory regions suppresses the expression of genes and disturbances in methylation patterns can lead to developmental defects (1).

In the model plant Arabidopsis, DNA methylation occurs at CG, CHG, and CHH sequences (H = A, T, or C) and is maintained through DNA replication by different mechanisms, depending on the sequence context: symmetric CG and CHG methylation are maintained primarily by MET1 and CMT3, whereas the asymmetric CHH methylation is maintained by either CMT2 or DRM2 (2, 3). Initial DNA methylation—defined in any sequence context is carried out by DRM2 (4, 5). DRM2 is targeted to its loci via a complex pathway known as RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM). RdDM depends on the production of both small interfering RNA (siRNA), and overlapping long-noncoding transcripts. According to current knowledge, chromatin-associated proteins, including SAWADEE HOMEODOMAIN HOMOLOG1 (SHH1)/DTF1 and CLASSY1 (CLS1Y), recruit and assist RNA POLYMERASE IV (Pol IV), which produces transcripts that are converted to double-stranded RNA by RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE2 (RDR2) and subsequently cleaved into 24-nt siRNAs by DICER-LIKE3 (DCL3). ARGONAUTE4 (AGO4) binds siRNAs and is recruited to the RNA POLYMERASE V (Pol V) complex, as well as to long noncoding transcripts (lncRNA) produced by Pol V. AGO4 ultimately interacts with DRM2, which methylates target sequences with homology to the siRNAs (6, 7). Recruitment of Pol V is mediated by methyl-CG-binding noncatalytic Su(var)3-9 histone methyltransferase homologs SUVH2 and SUVH9, and a putative chromatin remodeling complex called the DRD1-DMS3-RDM1 complex (8, 9). In addition to SUVH2 and SUVH9, SUVR2 from the same family of SET-domain proteins was also identified as an RdDM factor by a systematic analysis of DNA methylation defects in mutants of Su(var)3-9 homologs (10). However, the precise molecular function of SUV2 in the RdDM pathway is unknown. Factors that act downstream of Pol V recruitment include the double-stranded RNA binding protein complex IDN2-IPD (11–14), SWI3B—which interacts with IDN2 and is part of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeling complex (15)—and RRP6-like1, which is involved in stabilizing Pol V and Pol IV transcripts (16).

The majority of known RdDM components have been identified via forward genetic screens. However, redundant members of gene families are usually not recovered from classic genetic screens because of the unlikelihood of simultaneous mutation of multiple redundant genes. To identify such genes, alternative approaches, such as mass spectrometric analysis following protein-affinity purification, chemical genetics, or RNAi-based screens have been used (14, 17, 18). High-throughput expression profiling and bioinformatic tools have enabled the interrogation and comparison of
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gene-expression data under various developmental and environmental conditions (19, 20). Based on coexpression data of known RdDM components, we identified the paralogous genes SNF2-RING-HELICASE–LIKE1 and -2 (FRG1 and FRG2) as two new RdDM factors. The FRG genes encode proteins containing SNF2 domains typical of ATP-dependent motor proteins in chromatin remodeling complexes, separated by a RING domain typical of E3 ubiquitin ligases. Furthermore, we show that FRG1 physically interacts with the putative histone methyltransferase SUV2R2. Together with the analysis of genome-wide methylation patterns, our results indicate that FRG1/2 and SUV2R2 have overlapping functions for the efficient methylation of a broad range of RdDM sites.

**Results**

**RdDM Genes Are Coexpressed.** Genes within the same pathway are often coexpressed (21). To test if this is also the case for RdDM genes, we used the ATTED-II database to retrieve Pearson’s correlation coefficients ($R$) for pairwise comparisons of 12 genes for which expression data were available (20). The median $R$ was 0.48, indicating that RdDM genes are in general highly coexpressed (Fig. 1A). Compared with a coexpression analysis of 100 Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathways, this value is close to the degree of coexpression observed within the pro teaseome pathway, which shows the highest levels of coexpression among all analyzed pathways (21). To assess which experimental conditions were causing the positive coexpression of RdDM genes, we used hierarchical clustering of the expression values for 26 different anatomical parts from ATH1 microarray databases. Common to the analyzed RdDM genes were high expression levels in the shoot apex, female flower parts, and the embryo (Fig. S1A). We searched for additional genes that were highly coexpressed with known RdDM components ($R \geq 0.55$) and generated a candidate list of new RdDM components (Table S1). The top candidate was a gene encoding a protein with conserved SNF2 helicase-like domains separated by a RING domain, which we named FRG1 (Fig. 1A and B). This candidate also fulfilled our second selection criterion, in that it has a closely related expressed paralog (22), FRG2, which is 66% identical at the protein sequence level (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1B). We thus reasoned that FRG1 and FRG2 might be functionally redundant, preventing their identification in previous genetic screens.

**FRG1 and FRG2 Are Redundantly Involved in the RdDM Pathway.** To test if FRG1 and FRG2 are indeed required for DNA methylation, we first measured the extent of non-CG methylation at the MEDEA-Intergenic Subtelomeric Repeat (MEA-ISR) locus in frg1 and frg2 single- and double-mutants, as well as higher-order mutants with other FRG paralogs, including FRG3, FRG4, and FRG5 (Fig. S1 B and C). This process was performed by digestion with a methyltransfer-sensitive enzyme and subsequent DNA blot analysis, a well-established and sensitive assay for RdDM (23). Although neither frg1 nor frg2 mutants alone showed a defect, frg1 frg2 double-mutants showed reduced (but not completely eliminated) methylation of MEA-ISR (Fig. 1C and Fig. S24). Additional mutations in FRG3, -4, and -5 did not lead to further reduction in MEA-ISR methylation, indicating that only FRG1 and FRG2 have redundant functions in RdDM and that the other family members are not involved in this pathway (Fig. 1C). According to the ATH1 microarray data, FRG1 and FRG2 are expressed at intermediate levels throughout plant development (Fig. S1D), but FRG2 expression does not significantly correlate with FRG1 and other RdDM genes.

**FWA is another locus that is normally methylated in Arabidopsis.** In vegetative tissue, hypomethylation of CG sites leads to ectopic expression and a dominant late-flowering phenotype (24). Unmethylated FWA copies that are introduced into wild-type plants by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation become efficiently methylated and silenced, whereas RdDM mutants fail to efficiently methylate and silence transgenic FWA (5). To test if the FRA proteins are involved in de novo methylation of FWA, we transformed frg1 frg2 double-mutants with an unmethylated FWA construct. We observed a reduction in CG, CHG, and CHH methylation compared with transformed wild-type plants (Fig. 1D). FRA expression in this reduced (moderate) condition was associated with only a very small increase in flowering time, as measured by the number of leaves per plant at the onset of flowering (Fig. S2F). Thus, consistent with the only partial reduction of RdDM at MEA-ISR, the frg1 frg2 double-mutants show only a partial reduction of RdDM mediated FWA de novo methylation.

**frg1 frg2 Double-Mutants Show a Partial Decrease in RdDM.** To further define the role of FRG1 and FRG2 in RdDM, we analyzed genome-wide DNA methylation patterns in rosette leaves of 3-wk-old plants at single base resolution by whole-genome bisulfite sequencing and defined differentially methylated regions (DMRs) with reduced DNA methylation levels in the frg1 frg2 double-mutant compared with wild-type (hypo-DMRs). We identified 342 frg1/frg2 hypo-DMRs in the CHH context and compared them to the 4,635 and 10,687 CHH hypo-DMRs previously identified in $\text{drm}1$ $\text{drm}2$ double-mutants (DRM1 encodes a lowly expressed paralog of DRM2), and $\text{cmt}2$ mutants, respectively (3, 10). Of frg1/frg2 hypo-DMRs, 93% overlapped with $\text{drm}1$ $\text{drm}2$ hypo-DMRs (Fig. 2A), whereas only 5% of frg1/frg2 hypo-DMRs overlapped with $\text{cmt}2$ hypo DMRs (Fig. 2B) in the CHH context, strongly indicating that DNA methylation defects in frg1 frg2 mostly occur at RdDM sites. The distribution of DNA methylation levels at $\text{drm}1$ or $\text{cmt}2$ hypo-DMRs showed that CHH methylation is moderately reduced in frg1 frg2 double-mutants over $\text{drm}1$ or $\text{cmt}2$ sites, but not over $\text{cmt}2$ sites, confirming that FRG1 and FRG2 are specifically involved in RdDM (Fig. 2A and B and Fig. S2C). The
To test if loss of DNA methylation in *frg1 frg2* double-mutants is associated with changes in gene expression, we analyzed genome-wide mRNA transcript levels by RNA-seq. Overall, gene-expression levels did not differ strongly in wild-type and *frg1 frg2* double-mutants, indicating that FRG1 and FRG2 are not generally involved in transcription (Fig. 2C, Right). Analysis of differential expression in *frg1 frg2* double-mutants compared with wild-type showed 55 genes that were more than twofold up- and 136 genes that were more than twofold down-regulated. Many of these up-regulated genes were annotated as transposable elements (n = 8) or unknown protein/pseudogene/other RNA (n = 19) (Dataset S1). In agreement with the absence of major transcriptional changes, *frg1 frg2* double-mutants did not display morphological or developmental differences compared with wild-type plants. Transcript levels at RdDM sites were low in wild-type, as well as *frg1 frg2* double-mutants (Fig. 2C, Center). However, a slight overall increase over *frg1/2* DRM sites in *frg1 frg2* double-mutants was observed and is indicative of derepression at some sites that have lost DNA methylation in *frg1 frg2* double-mutants (Fig. 2C, Left). Together with the DNA methylation defect, these results show that FRG1 and FRG2 are required for efficient transcriptional silencing at a subset of RdDM sites.

Previous analysis of CHH methylation patterns revealed that different classes of RdDM mutants show different levels of methylation defects and, accordingly, the different mutants were categorized as “eliminated,” “reduced,” and “weakly reduced” (10). Hierarchical clustering of CHH methylation patterns at DRM1/DRM2-dependent sites placed the *frg1 frg2* double-mutant into the “weakly reduced” class, clustering together with the RdDM mutants *drm3, suvr2, dcl3*, and *clsy1* (Fig. 3A). As in the other weakly reduced mutants, CHH methylation in the *frg1 frg2* double-mutant was reduced over a broad range of DRM1/DRM2-dependent sites, and some sites were more affected than others. Consistently, *frg1 frg2, suvr2*, and *drm3* mutants showed slight to moderate reductions in average CHH methylation over the CHH hypo-DMRs of each of these mutants, as well as the *drm1 drm2* double-mutant (Fig. 3B). We sought to further test whether the *frg1 frg2* double-mutant affects methylation at a unique subset of loci, or whether it was typical of other weak RdDM mutants, by comparing the CHH hypo-DMRs of *frg1 frg2* double-mutants with those of previously characterized weak mutants (10). The overlap between DMRs ranged from 30% of the *frg1/2* CHH hypo-DMRs with *dcl3* to 87% with *ktf1*, but in general the overlap appeared to be larger with stronger mutants (i.e., mutants with more DMRs) (Fig. S3). Moreover, in all pairwise comparisons, *frg1/2* methylation levels were slightly reduced in the DMRs fraction that did not overlap with *frg1/2* DMRs and, conversely, the compared mutants showed slightly decreased methylation in *frg1/2*-specific DMRs (Fig. S3). Therefore, the weak RdDM mutants, including *frg1/2*, have broadly overlapping genomic regions with weakly reduced methylation levels, but also some specific loci that show stronger methylation defects in the different genotypes.

**FRG1 and FRG2 Act in the Downstream RdDM Pathway.** Previously, genome-wide clusters of 24-nt siRNAs were defined that depended only on Pol IV (upstream), or additionally required SHH1, Pol V, and DRM2 (downstream) (25). To further investigate the role of FRG1 and FRG2 in the RdDM pathway, we performed small RNA-seq to profile *frg1 frg2* double-, *frg1 quintuple-*, and *suvr2* mutants. We also included the upstream mutant *dcl3*, as well as downstream *drm1 drm2* double-mutants as controls. As expected, 24-nt siRNAs were lost in *dcl3* at both upstream and downstream clusters, whereas *drm1/2* only affected downstream clusters (Fig. 3C). The abundance of 24-nt siRNAs in *frg1 frg2* double-, *frg1 quintuple-,* and *suvr2* mutants compared with wild-type was not reduced at upstream clusters and was relatively small number of *frg1/2* DMRs compared with *drm1/2* DMRs indicates that FRG1 and FRG2 are mostly required for DNA methylation at a subset of RdDM target sites. However, CHH methylation levels in *frg1 frg2* double-mutants were slightly reduced at *drm1/2* CHH hypo-DMRs that did not overlap with *frg1/2* DMRs (Fig. 2A). This finding indicates that regions with weakly reduced DNA methylation in *frg1 frg2* double-mutants were not identified by the stringent parameters for calling DMRs, and that the function of FRG1 and FRG2 is not restricted to the defined *frg1/2* hypo-DMRs.
moderately reduced at downstream clusters, with suvr2 showing a slightly stronger effect (Fig. 3C). These data confirm previous analysis of siRNAs in suvr2 by Northern blots (10), and suggest that FRG1 and FRG2, as well as SUVR2, act in the downstream portion of the RdDM pathway.

**FRG1 Physically Interacts with SUVR2.** To gain insight into the molecular function of FRG1, we sought to identify interacting proteins using immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments. We generated a construct containing the *FRG1* gene driven by its endogenous promoter with a 3' epitope tag encoding three FLAG peptides followed by a biotin ligase recognition peptide (BLRP). The construct was introduced into frg1 frg2 double-mutants and complementation of the DNA methylation defect by the tagged fusion protein was confirmed by MEA-ISR Southern blots (Fig. 4) (43). Subsequently, we performed large-scale IP experiments followed by mass spectrometry to identify proteins that copurify with FRG1. The only protein that was enriched in all four independent IP experiments in samples from the tagged FRG1 line compared with FRG1, indicating that either the interaction was weak/transient or only a fraction of the Flag-tagged FRG1 interacted with SUVR2 (Fig. 4). To confirm the FRG1–SUVR2 interaction, we crossed the FLAG-tagged FRG1 line with a line that expresses a complementing SUVR2 protein fused to a 9xMyc tag at the C terminus (Fig. S4) and demonstrated their interaction in F1 plants by co-IP (Fig. 4C). In conclusion, FRG1 physically interacts with a known component of the RdDM pathway, SUVR2.

**Discussion**

Using an RNA coexpression approach, this study identifies *FRG1* and *FRG2* as redundant genes required for efficient RdDM. The observation that *frg1* frg2 double-mutants show only a partial reduction of RdDM, and that this phenotype is not enhanced in higher-order mutant combinations with other *FRG* paralogs, suggests that FRG1 and FRG2 are acting at a step in the RdDM pathway that is needed for efficient methylation, but is not completely required for functioning of the RdDM pathway at the majority of RdDM target sites. However, a subset of RdDM sites seems particularly prone to loss of methylation in *frg1* frg2 double, as well as other weakly reduced mutants. Although the precise molecular function of FRG1 and FRG2 is unknown, it is tempting to speculate that they may act as regulators of efficient RdDM at particular RdDM sites, and may therefore be involved in dynamic control of methylation during stress or through development.

Similar to two other components of the RdDM pathway, CLSY1 and DRD1 (defective in RNA-directed DNA methylation 1), FRG1 and FRG2 belong to a superfamily of helicase-like SWI2/SNF2 ATP-dependent chromatin remodeler-related proteins (26–28). CLSY1 and DRD1 interact with Pol IV and Pol V, respectively, and might be required to provide a chromatin environment favorable for recruitment or transcriptional activity of these heterochromatic RNA polymerases. Recently, SWI3B, a component of the ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complex SWI/SNF, was described as an IDN2 interactor that is guided by lncRNAs to position nucleosomes at RdDM target sites (15). The 24-nt siRNA profile of *frg1* frg2 double-mutants did not show any changes at siRNA clusters that depend on Pol IV but not on genes downstream of siRNA production, which argues against an involvement of FRG1 and FRG2 in Pol IV transcription. Therefore, it is more likely, that FRG1 and FRG2 might function
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**Fig. 3.** *frg1/2* double-mutants belong to the “weakly reduced” class of RdDM mutants and are defective in the downstream RdDM pathway. (A) Heatmap of CHH methylation changes within drm1/2 hypo-DMRs clustered by absolute change in CHH methylation levels (rows) and genotype (columns). The color scale is capped for values ≤−0.4 and ≥0.5. (B) Average distribution of CHH methylation levels over hypo-DMRs of *drm1/2*, *frg1/2*, *suvr2*, or *drm3* mutants. The x axis indicates distance from the DMR midpoints in base pairs. (C) Abundance of 24-nt siRNAs in reads per million at

“upstream” and “downstream” siRNA clusters, referring to “pol-iv only” and “ssh1/drm2/pol-v” clusters, respectively, as previously defined (25).
WIYLD domain (35), although the natural ubiquitylated substrate for SUVR2 binding is unknown. Because FRG1 and FRG2 encode putative ubiquitin E3 ligases, it therefore seems possible that SUVR2 might bind to substrates that are ubiquitylated by FRG1 and FRG2. Future identification of FRG and SUVR2 substrates should shed light on these hypotheses, and aid in the further molecular characterization of the RdDM pathway.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials. All plants used in this study were in the Columbia-0 ecotype and grown under long-day conditions. We used the following mutant lines: frg1-1 (SALK_027637), frg1-2 (SALK_063135), frg2-1 (SALK_057016), frg3-1 (SALK_071872), frg4-1 (SAIL_735_G06), frg5-1 (SALK_022785), and suvr2-1 (SAIL_832_E07). Unless stated differently, frg1 indicates the frg1-1 allele.

RdDM Coexpression List. We used 16 known RdDM genes individually as input (genes A). Then we selected for the genes showing coexpression (based in Pearson coefficient) ≥ 0.55 (gene B). As a second step, we ranked those genes and sorted them according to two different parameters. The first parameter was occurrence, or how often gene B appears coexpressed with genes A; the second parameter was the magnitude of the Pearson correlation coefficient for one particular gene A to another gene B. Finally, we chose genes that are members of a gene family, and selected those genes for which phylogenetic analyses showed very close homologous gene pairs.

Phylogenetic Analysis. We retrieved protein sequences from the FRG1 family from the Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR). The alignment of protein FRG1-F/allele. Approximately 10 g of flower tissue from transgenic (SALK_071872), and grown under long-day conditions. We used the following mutant lines: frg1-1 (SALK_027637), frg1-2 (SALK_063135), frg2-1 (SALK_057016), frg3-1 (SALK_071872), frg4-1 (SAIL_735_G06), frg5-1 (SALK_022785), and suvr2-1 (SAIL_832_E07). Unless stated differently, frg1 indicates the frg1-1 allele.

Affinity Purification. Approximately 10 g of flower tissue from transgenic FRG1-Flag-BLRP, or Columbia (as a negative control) were ground in liquid nitrogen, and resuspended in 50 mL of IP buffer [50 mM Tris pH7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 5% (vol/vol) glycerol, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 2.8 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 μg/mL pepstatin, 1 mM PMSF, and 1× protease inhibitor mixture (Roche, 14696200)]. The cleared lysate was incubated at 4 °C for 2 h with 200 μL of anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads (Sigma). The immunoprecipitate was washed once with 40 mL and three times with 1 mL of IP buffer, followed by two washes with 1 mL IP buffer lacking detergent. Proteins were released from the beads by two consecutive elutions in 400 μL 3×FLAG peptide (150 ng/μL) for 20 min at room temperature.

Mass Spectrometric Analysis. Mass spectrometric analyses were conducted as described in ref. 9.

Co-IP Assays. IP was performed with c-Myc 9E10 agarose (50% slurry; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Western blotting was performed with anti-FLAG M2 antibody-HRP conjugate (Sigma; A8592) and c-Myc 9E10 mouse monoclonal antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc-40).

Whole Genome Bisulfite Sequencing. Genomic DNA was extracted from 1 g of 3-wk-old plant aerial tissue using DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). Libraries for bisulfite sequencing were generated and sequenced as described in ref. 10. Read statistics are listed in Table S3. Data for mutants other than the frg1/2
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![Affinity Purification. Approximately 10 g of flower tissue from transgenic FRG1-Flag-BLRP, or Columbia (as a negative control) were ground in liquid nitrogen, and resuspended in 50 mL of IP buffer [50 mM Tris pH7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 5% (vol/vol) glycerol, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 2.8 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 μg/mL pepstatin, 1 mM PMSF, and 1× protease inhibitor mixture (Roche, 14696200)]. The cleared lysate was incubated at 4 °C for 2 h with 200 μL of anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads (Sigma). The immunoprecipitate was washed once with 40 mL and three times with 1 mL of IP buffer, followed by two washes with 1 mL IP buffer lacking detergent. Proteins were released from the beads by two consecutive elutions in 400 μL 3×FLAG peptide (150 ng/μL) for 20 min at room temperature.](image)

Fig. 4. In vivo interaction of FRG1 and SUVR2. (A) DNA blot showing that FLAG-tagged FRG1 complements the DNA methylation defect of frg1/2 at the MEA-ISR locus. Two biological replicates per genotype are shown. (B) Abundance of FRG1 and SUVR2 peptides in mass spectrometric analyses of four independent FRG1-FLAG IP experiments. The combined relative abundances of FRG1 and SUVR2 peptides are set to 100% on the x axis. (C) Co-IP assay for interaction of FRG1-FLAG with Myc-SUVR2. Input shows the expression of the tagged proteins in parental lines (P) and in the offspring from the crossed parental lines (F1). Myc-SUVR2 was immunopurified (IP) with anti-Myc antibody and the presence of copurified FRG1-FLAG was analyzed by Western blot using anti-FLAG antibody.
mRNA Sequencing. Total RNA was isolated with TRIzol (Ambion) from 0.1-g of 14-d-old seedlings grown at continuous light and 21 °C on Murashige and Skoog medium. Individual libraries from three biological replicates each were generated according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina). All libraries were sequenced with the HiSeq 2000 platform according to the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina) at 50-bp length. Read statistics are listed in Table S3. First adapter sequences were removed, and then reads were mapped to the TAIR10 genome using Bowtie (38) by allowing no mismatches and only keeping reads that uniquely map to the genome. Small RNA counts were normalized to the size of each small RNA library by dividing to the number of reads to the number of total uniquely mapping reads and multiplying by the sample size. For the analysis of normalized reads in "upstream" and "downstream" clusters, the "pol-iV-only" and "shh1/r/2/pol-iV" clusters from ref. 25 were used, respectively.

Accession Numbers. All sequencing data are available at National Center for Biotechnology Information’s Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and are accessible via GEO Series accession no. GSE62801.
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